

|                                             |                                      |                                       |  |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/466,180 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>CAMERON ET AL. |  |
|                                             | <b>Examiner</b><br>Denise Tran       | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2185               |  |

**All Participants:**

 (1) Denise Tran.

 (2) Sumit Bhattacharya (Reg. No. 51,469).
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 September 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 19, 21, and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Bhattacharya, applicant's representative, agreed to amend claims 1, 9, 19, 21, and 26, "may" to --is to-- to clarify the claimed language.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)